

Law of Large Numbers for the SIR model

Étienne Pardoux

Aix Marseille Université

MoCLiS, Dschang, Nov. 27, 2025

The case of the Markovian model 1

- Here we assume that each infected individual infects at rate λ during a period of length $\mathcal{I}_i \sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$, where the \mathcal{I}_i 's are i.i.d..
- The model for the evolution of $(S^N(t), I^N(t), R^N(t))$ is the following

$$S^N(t) = S^N(0) - \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) I^N(s^-)} Q_{inf}(ds, du),$$

$$I^N(t) = I^N(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) I^N(s^-)} Q_{inf}(ds, du) - \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu I^N(s^-)} Q_{rec}(ds, du),$$

$$R^N(t) = R^N(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu I^N(s^-)} Q_{rec}(ds, du).$$

The case of the Markovian model 1

- Here we assume that each infected individual infects at rate λ during a period of length $\mathcal{I}_\gamma \sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$, where the \mathcal{I}_γ 's are i.i.d..
- The model for the evolution of $(S^N(t), I^N(t), R^N(t))$ is the following

$$S^N(t) = S^N(0) - \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) I^N(s^-)} Q_{inf}(ds, du),$$

$$I^N(t) = I^N(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) I^N(s^-)} Q_{inf}(ds, du) - \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu I^N(s^-)} Q_{rec}(ds, du),$$

$$R^N(t) = R^N(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu I^N(s^-)} Q_{rec}(ds, du).$$

The case of the Markovian model 2

- We divide the above system by N , yielding

$$\bar{S}^N(t) = S^N(0) - \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t),$$

$$\bar{I}^N(t) = I^N(0) + \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) - \mu \int_0^t \bar{I}^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)$$

$$\bar{R}^N(t) = R^N(0) + \mu \int_0^t \bar{I}^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t),$$

- where

$$\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) \bar{I}^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{inf}(ds, du),$$

$$\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu \bar{I}^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{rec}(ds, du).$$

- Clearly $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{N} \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds \leq \frac{\lambda^2 t}{N}$, $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{t}{N}$.

The case of the Markovian model 2

- We divide the above system by N , yielding

$$\bar{S}^N(t) = S^N(0) - \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t),$$

$$\bar{I}^N(t) = I^N(0) + \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) - \mu \int_0^t \bar{I}^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)$$

$$\bar{R}^N(t) = R^N(0) + \mu \int_0^t \bar{I}^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t),$$

- where

$$\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) \bar{I}^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{inf}(ds, du),$$

$$\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu \bar{I}^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{rec}(ds, du).$$

- Clearly $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{N} \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds \leq \frac{\lambda^2 t}{N}$, $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{t}{N}$.

The case of the Markovian model 2

- We divide the above system by N , yielding

$$\bar{S}^N(t) = S^N(0) - \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t),$$

$$\bar{I}^N(t) = I^N(0) + \lambda \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) - \mu \int_0^t I^N(s) ds - \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)$$

$$\bar{R}^N(t) = R^N(0) + \mu \int_0^t I^N(s) ds + \varepsilon_{rec}^N(t),$$

- where

$$\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\lambda \bar{S}^N(s^-) I^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{inf}(ds, du),$$

$$\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^{\mu I^N(s^-)} \bar{Q}_{rec}(ds, du).$$

- Clearly $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{inf}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{N} \int_0^t \bar{S}^N(s) \bar{I}^N(s) ds \leq \frac{\lambda^2 t}{N}$, $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{rec}^N(t)|^2] \leq \frac{t}{N}$.

The VI model 1

- We now write the VI N -model. First we are given $(S^N(0), I^N(0), R^N(0))$, such that $S^N(0) + I^N(0) + R^N(0) = N$.
- To the initially infected individuals, we associate $\{\lambda_j^0(t), t \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$, and define $\eta_j^0 = \sup\{t, \lambda_j^0(t) > 0\}$.
- Initially susceptible individuals get infected at times τ_i^N , $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$. To each i , we associate $\lambda_i(t)$, which is the infectivity at time t after its infection time. Hence at time t , the infectivity of individual i is $\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N)$. We assume that $\lambda_i(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. We define $\eta_i = \sup\{t > 0, \lambda_i(t) > 0\}$.
- We assume that the two sequences $\{\lambda_j^0(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$ and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)\}$ are both i.i.d., and mutually independent. Also $\lambda^0, \lambda \in D, 0 \leq \lambda_1^0(t) \vee \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda^*, \forall t \geq 0$ a.s. (where λ^* is a constant). We let $F^0(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^0 \leq t)$, $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1 \leq t)$, $F_0^c(t) = 1 - F_0(t)$, $F^c(t) = 1 - F(t)$.

The VI model 1

- We now write the VI N -model. First we are given $(S^N(0), I^N(0), R^N(0))$, such that $S^N(0) + I^N(0) + R^N(0) = N$.
- To the initially infected individuals, we associate $\{\lambda_j^0(t), t \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$, and define $\eta_j^0 = \sup\{t, \lambda_j^0(t) > 0\}$.
- Initially susceptible individuals get infected at times τ_i^N , $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$. To each i , we associate $\lambda_i(t)$, which is the infectivity at time t after its infection time. Hence at time t , the infectivity of individual i is $\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N)$. We assume that $\lambda_i(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. We define $\eta_i = \sup\{t > 0, \lambda_i(t) > 0\}$.
- We assume that the two sequences $\{\lambda_j^0(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$ and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)\}$ are both i.i.d., and mutually independent. Also $\lambda^0, \lambda \in D$, $0 \leq \lambda_1^0(t) \vee \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda^*, \forall t \geq 0$ a.s. (where λ^* is a constant). We let $F^0(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^0 \leq t)$, $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1 \leq t)$, $F_0^c(t) = 1 - F_0(t)$, $F^c(t) = 1 - F(t)$.

The VI model 1

- We now write the VI N -model. First we are given $(S^N(0), I^N(0), R^N(0))$, such that $S^N(0) + I^N(0) + R^N(0) = N$.
- To the initially infected individuals, we associate $\{\lambda_j^0(t), t \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$, and define $\eta_j^0 = \sup\{t, \lambda_j^0(t) > 0\}$.
- Initially susceptible individuals get infected at times τ_i^N , $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$. To each i , we associate $\lambda_i(t)$, which is the infectivity at time t after its infection time. Hence at time t , the infectivity of individual i is $\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N)$. We assume that $\lambda_i(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. We define $\eta_i = \sup\{t > 0, \lambda_i(t) > 0\}$.
- We assume that the two sequences $\{\lambda_j^0(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$ and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)\}$ are both i.i.d., and mutually independent. Also $\lambda^0, \lambda \in D, 0 \leq \lambda_1^0(t) \vee \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda^*, \forall t \geq 0$ a.s. (where λ^* is a constant). We let $F^0(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^0 \leq t)$, $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1 \leq t)$, $F_0^c(t) = 1 - F_0(t)$, $F^c(t) = 1 - F(t)$.

The VI model 1

- We now write the VI N -model. First we are given $(S^N(0), I^N(0), R^N(0))$, such that $S^N(0) + I^N(0) + R^N(0) = N$.
- To the initially infected individuals, we associate $\{\lambda_j^0(t), t \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$, and define $\eta_j^0 = \sup\{t, \lambda_j^0(t) > 0\}$.
- Initially susceptible individuals get infected at times τ_i^N , $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$. To each i , we associate $\lambda_i(t)$, which is the infectivity at time t after its infection time. Hence at time t , the infectivity of individual i is $\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N)$. We assume that $\lambda_i(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. We define $\eta_i = \sup\{t > 0, \lambda_i(t) > 0\}$.
- We assume that the two sequences $\{\lambda_j^0(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq I^N(0)\}$ and $\{\lambda_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)\}$ are both i.i.d., and mutually independent. Also $\lambda^0, \lambda \in D$, $0 \leq \lambda_1^0(t) \vee \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda^*, \forall t \geq 0$ a.s. (where λ^* is a constant). We let $F^0(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^0 \leq t)$, $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1 \leq t)$, $F_0^c(t) = 1 - F_0(t)$, $F^c(t) = 1 - F(t)$.

The VI model 2

- We first write $S^N(t) = S^N(0) - A^N(t)$.
- The total force of infection at time t is

$$\mathfrak{F}^N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{IN(0)} \lambda_j^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{A^N(t)} \lambda_i(t\tau_i^N),$$
$$A^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\bar{S}^N(s^-)} \mathfrak{F}^N(s^-) Q(ds, du) .$$

- Moreover

$$I^N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 > t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i > t},$$
$$R^N(t) = R^N(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 \leq t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i \leq t} .$$

The VI model 2

- We first write $S^N(t) = S^N(0) - A^N(t)$.
- The total force of infection at time t is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}^N(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{IN(0)} \lambda_j^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{A^N(t)} \lambda_i(t\tau_i^N), \\ A^N(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\bar{S}^N(s^-)} \mathfrak{F}^N(s^-) Q(ds, du) .\end{aligned}$$

- Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}I^N(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 > t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i > t}, \\ R^N(t) &= R^N(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 \leq t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i \leq t} .\end{aligned}$$

The VI model 2

- We first write $S^N(t) = S^N(0) - A^N(t)$.
- The total force of infection at time t is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}^N(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{IN(0)} \lambda_j^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{A^N(t)} \lambda_i(t\tau_i^N), \\ A^N(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\bar{S}^N(s^-)} \mathfrak{F}^N(s^-) Q(ds, du) .\end{aligned}$$

- Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}I^N(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 > t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i > t}, \\ R^N(t) &= R^N(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} 1_{\eta_j^0 \leq t} + \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} 1_{\tau_i^N + \eta_i \leq t} .\end{aligned}$$

Law of Large Numbers

- Notation : $\bar{X}^N(t) = X^N(t)/N$. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, in probability, loc. uniformly in t ,
 $(\bar{S}^N(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t), \bar{I}^N(t), \bar{R}^N(t)) \rightarrow (\bar{S}(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t), \bar{I}(t), \bar{R}(t))$, where

$$\bar{S}(t) = \bar{S}(0) - \int_0^t \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t) = \bar{I}(0) \bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

- and

$$\bar{I}(t) = \bar{I}(0) F_0^c(t) + \int_0^t F^c(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{R}(t) = \bar{R}(0) + \bar{I}(0) F_0(t) + \int_0^t F(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

Law of Large Numbers

- Notation : $\bar{X}^N(t) = X^N(t)/N$. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, in probability, loc. uniformly in t ,
 $(\bar{S}^N(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t), \bar{I}^N(t), \bar{R}^N(t)) \rightarrow (\bar{S}(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t), \bar{I}(t), \bar{R}(t))$, where

-

$$\bar{S}(t) = \bar{S}(0) - \int_0^t \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t) = \bar{I}(0) \bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

- and

$$\bar{I}(t) = \bar{I}(0) F_0^c(t) + \int_0^t F^c(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{R}(t) = \bar{R}(0) + \bar{I}(0) F_0(t) + \int_0^t F(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

Law of Large Numbers

- Notation : $\bar{X}^N(t) = X^N(t)/N$. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, in probability, loc. uniformly in t ,
 $(\bar{S}^N(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t), \bar{I}^N(t), \bar{R}^N(t)) \rightarrow (\bar{S}(t), \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t), \bar{I}(t), \bar{R}(t))$, where

-

$$\bar{S}(t) = \bar{S}(0) - \int_0^t \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t) = \bar{I}(0) \bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

- and

$$\bar{I}(t) = \bar{I}(0) F_0^c(t) + \int_0^t F^c(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds,$$

$$\bar{R}(t) = \bar{R}(0) + \bar{I}(0) F_0(t) + \int_0^t F(t-s) \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds.$$

Another formulation of the stochastic model

- We can rewrite

$$S^N(t) = S^N(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t),$$

$$\mathfrak{I}^N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} \lambda_j^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{A^N(t)} \lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N),$$

where $A_i^N(t) = 0$ if i is susceptible at time t , $= 1$ if i has been infected by time t .

- The jump time τ_i^N of $A_i^N(t)$ is the infection time of individual i , and

$$A_i^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\mathfrak{I}^N(s^-)} 1_{A_i^N(s^-)=0} Q_i(ds, du),$$

where the Q_i are i.i.d. PRMs.

Another formulation of the stochastic model

- We can rewrite

$$S^N(t) = S^N(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t),$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^N(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} \lambda_j^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{A^N(t)} \lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N),$$

where $A_i^N(t) = 0$ if i is susceptible at time t , $= 1$ if i has been infected by time t .

- The jump time τ_i^N of $A_i^N(t)$ is the infection time of individual i , and

$$A_i^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\mathfrak{F}^N(s^-)} 1_{A_i^N(s^-)=0} Q_i(ds, du),$$

where the Q_i are i.i.d. PRMs.

Equivalence of the two formulations 1

- We want to show that $A^N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t)$. Recall that $A^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{S^N(s^-) \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q(ds, du)$, while $A_i^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q_i(ds, du) \wedge 1$.
- At the start of the epidemic, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} \lambda_j^0(s)$, which is independent of the Q_i 's. If we define $Q(s, u)$ by

$$\begin{cases} Q_1(s, u), & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{u}{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < 1; \\ Q_2(s, u - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), & \text{if } 1 \leq \frac{u}{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < 2; \\ \dots, & \dots \\ Q_{S^N(0)}(s, u - (S^N(0) - 1)\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), & \text{if } S^N(0) - 1 \leq \frac{u}{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} \leq S^N(0); \\ Q_{S^N(0)+1}(s, u), & \text{if } \frac{u}{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} > S^N(0); \end{cases}$$

we see that $A^N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t)$ until the first jump, say $\tau_{(1)}^N$. That jump of $A^N(t)$ is a jump of $A_{i_1}^N$.

Equivalence of the two formulations 1

- We want to show that $A^N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t)$. Recall that $A^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{S^N(s^-) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q(ds, du)$, while $A_i^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q_i(ds, du) \wedge 1$.
- At the start of the epidemic, $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} \lambda_j^0(s)$, which is independent of the Q_i 's. If we define $Q(s, u)$ by

$$\begin{cases} Q_1(s, u), & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < 1; \\ Q_2(s, u - \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), & \text{if } 1 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < 2; \\ \dots, & \dots \\ Q_{S^N(0)}(s, u - (S^N(0) - 1)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), & \text{if } S^N(0) - 1 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} \leq S^N(0); \\ Q_{S^N(0)+1}(s, u), & \text{if } \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} > S^N(0); \end{cases}$$

we see that $A^N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i^N(t)$ until the first jump, say $\tau_{(1)}^N$. That jump of $A^N(t)$ is a jump of $A_{i_1}^N$.

Equivalence of the two formulations 2

- Starting from that jump, we suppress the i_1 line in the above definition of Q , and for all $j > i_1$, we replace the line

$$Q_j(s, u - (j - 1)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), \text{ if } j - 1 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < j \quad \text{by}$$
$$Q_j(s, u - (j - 2)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)),$$
$$\text{ if } j - 2 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < j - 1.$$

- Now we have $A^N(t) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq S^N(0), i \neq i_1} A_i^N(t)$, which is OK since the next jump is expected at a level $0 \leq u \leq (S^N(0) - 1)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s) = S^N(s)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)$ until the next jump, etc.

Equivalence of the two formulations 2

- Starting from that jump, we suppress the i_1 line in the above definition of Q , and for all $j > i_1$, we replace the line

$$Q_j(s, u - (j - 1)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)), \text{ if } j - 1 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < j \quad \text{by}$$
$$Q_j(s, u - (j - 2)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)),$$
$$\text{ if } j - 2 \leq \frac{u}{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)} < j - 1.$$

- Now we have $A^N(t) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq S^N(0), i \neq i_1} A_i^N(t)$, which is OK since the next jump is expected at a level $0 \leq u \leq (S^N(0) - 1)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s) = S^N(s)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)$ until the next jump, etc.

Proof of the LLN 1

- Now suppose that we replace, for each $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$, $A_i^N(t)$ by $A_i(t)$ defined by

$$A_i(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)} 1_{A_i(s^-)=0} Q_i(ds, du).$$

- We note that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_i > s) = \exp(-\int_0^s \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(r) dr)$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s)\bar{S}(s)\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0) \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \exp(-\int_0^s \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(r)dr) \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0)\mathbb{E}[\bar{\lambda}(t-\tau_i)].\end{aligned}$$

- If we take the last line for the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t)$ in the formula for $A_i(t)$, we see that $A_i(t)$ solves a type of McKean-Vlasov SDE.

Proof of the LLN 1

- Now suppose that we replace, for each $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$, $A_i^N(t)$ by $A_i(t)$ defined by

$$A_i(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)} 1_{A_i(s^-)=0} Q_i(ds, du).$$

- We note that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_i > s) = \exp(-\int_0^s \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(r) dr)$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s)\bar{S}(s)\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0) \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \exp(-\int_0^s \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds) \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0)\mathbb{E}[\bar{\lambda}(t - \tau_i)].\end{aligned}$$

- If we take the last line for the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t)$ in the formula for $A_i(t)$, we see that $A_i(t)$ solves a type of McKean-Vlasov SDE.

Proof of the LLN 1

- Now suppose that we replace, for each $1 \leq i \leq S^N(0)$, $A_i^N(t)$ by $A_i(t)$ defined by

$$A_i(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s)} 1_{A_i(s^-)=0} Q_i(ds, du).$$

- We note that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_i > s) = \exp(-\int_0^s \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(r) dr)$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t) &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s)\bar{S}(s)\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0) \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(t-s) \exp(-\int_0^s \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(u)du) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s)ds \\ &= \bar{I}(0)\bar{\lambda}^0(t) + \bar{S}(0)\mathbb{E}[\bar{\lambda}(t - \tau_i)].\end{aligned}$$

- If we take the last line for the definition of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(t)$ in the formula for $A_i(t)$, we see that $A_i(t)$ solves a type of McKean-Vlasov SDE.

Proof of the LLN 2

- The point is that the sequence $\{A_i(\cdot), i \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d. So by the law of large numbers in D , as $N \rightarrow \infty$, a.s., locally unif. in t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i(t) &\rightarrow \bar{S}(0) \int_0^t \exp\left(-\int_0^s \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds\right) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

- It remains to prove that

Lemma

There exists a positive constant C_{T,λ^} such that for all $N \geq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)| \right] \leq C_{T,\lambda^*} (\varepsilon_N + 2N^{-1/2}),$$

where $\varepsilon_N = E[|\bar{I}^N(0) - \bar{I}(0)| + |\bar{S}^N(0) - \bar{S}(0)|]$.

Proof of the LLN 2

- The point is that the sequence $\{A_i(\cdot), i \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d. So by the law of large numbers in D , as $N \rightarrow \infty$, a.s., locally unif. in t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} A_i(t) &\rightarrow \bar{S}(0) \int_0^t \exp\left(-\int_0^s \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds\right) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \bar{S}(s) \bar{\mathfrak{F}}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

- It remains to prove that

Lemma

There exists a positive constant C_{T,λ^} such that for all $N \geq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)| \right] \leq C_{T,\lambda^*} (\varepsilon_N + 2N^{-1/2}),$$

where $\varepsilon_N = E[|\bar{I}^N(0) - \bar{I}(0)| + |\bar{S}^N(0) - \bar{S}(0)|]$.

Proof of the Lemma 1

- We have

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \vee \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q_i(ds, du)$$

- Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| &\leq \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) \right| + \lambda^* \mathbb{E}[|\bar{I}^N(0) - \bar{I}(0)| + |\bar{S}^N(0) - \bar{S}(0)|]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Lemma 1

- We have

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \vee \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q_i(ds, du)$$

- Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| &\leq \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) + \lambda^* \mathbb{E}[|\bar{I}^N(0) - \bar{I}(0)| + |\bar{S}^N(0) - \bar{S}(0)|] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Lemma 1

- We have

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) \vee \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s^-)} Q_i(ds, du)$$

- Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| &\leq \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) \right| + \lambda^* \mathbb{E}[|\bar{I}^N(0) - \bar{I}(0)| + |\bar{S}^N(0) - \bar{S}(0)|]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Lemma 2

- We first note that, using second moment estimates,

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}$$
$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

- So we have

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} [\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)] \right|$$

- But

$$|\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)| \leq \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

Proof of the Lemma 2

- We first note that, using second moment estimates,

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}$$
$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

- So we have

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} [\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)] \right|$$

- But

$$|\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)| \leq \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

Proof of the Lemma 2

- We first note that, using second moment estimates,

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I^N(0)} (\lambda_j^0(t) - \bar{\lambda}^0(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}$$
$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} (\lambda_i(t - \tau_i) - \mathbb{E}[\lambda_i(t - \tau_i)]) \right| \leq \frac{C\lambda^*}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

- So we have

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{S^N(0)} [\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)] \right|$$

- But

$$|\lambda_i(t - \tau_i^N) - \lambda_i(t - \tau_i)| \leq \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

Proof of the Lemma 3

- Finally

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

- On the other hand, we have established

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- So for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|] \leq CT(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|] ds.$$

- We conclude using Gronwall's Lemma.

Proof of the Lemma 3

- Finally

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

- On the other hand, we have established

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- So for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq CT(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|\right] ds.$$

- We conclude using Gronwall's Lemma.

Proof of the Lemma 3

- Finally

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

- On the other hand, we have established

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- So for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq CT(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|\right] ds.$$

- We conclude using Gronwall's Lemma.

Proof of the Lemma 3

- Finally

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(t) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(t)| \leq C(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|$$

- On the other hand, we have established

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(s) - \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^N(s)| ds.$$

- So for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} |A_i^N(t) - A_i(t)|\right] \leq CT(\varepsilon_N + N^{-1/2}) + \lambda^* \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} |A_i^N(r) - A_i(r)|\right] ds.$$

- We conclude using Gronwall's Lemma.

Time of extinction of an epidemic

- Suppose we are in a situation where, starting from $t = t_0$, an epidemic is declining (i.e. the mean number of susceptible individuals which an infected infects $R_{eff} < 1$), while the total number of infected individuals is $M \ll N =$ the size of the population. Then deterministic models are no longer valid, the epidemic is well approximated by a sub-critical branching process, which decays essentially like an exponential $e^{-\rho(t-t_0)}$.
- We compare the time until extinction in 2 models : our VI infectivity model, and a Markovian SIR model with the same R_{eff} and ρ .
- The time to extinction is longer in the VI model.

Time of extinction of an epidemic

- Suppose we are in a situation where, starting from $t = t_0$, an epidemic is declining (i.e. the mean number of susceptible individuals which an infected infects $R_{eff} < 1$), while the total number of infected individuals is $M \ll N =$ the size of the population. Then deterministic models are no longer valid, the epidemic is well approximated by a sub-critical branching process, which decays essentially like an exponential $e^{-\rho(t-t_0)}$.
- We compare the time until extinction in 2 models : our VI infectivity model, and a Markovian SIR model with the same R_{eff} and ρ .
- The time to extinction is longer in the VI model.

Time of extinction of an epidemic

- Suppose we are in a situation where, starting from $t = t_0$, an epidemic is declining (i.e. the mean number of susceptible individuals which an infected infects $R_{eff} < 1$), while the total number of infected individuals is $M \ll N =$ the size of the population. Then deterministic models are no longer valid, the epidemic is well approximated by a sub-critical branching process, which decays essentially like an exponential $e^{-\rho(t-t_0)}$.
- We compare the time until extinction in 2 models : our VI infectivity model, and a Markovian SIR model with the same R_{eff} and ρ .
- The time to extinction is longer in the VI model.

Comparison with the time of extinction in a simplified model

- Comparison of the expectations of the times of extinction :

	$R_{eff} = 0.66$	$R_{eff} = 0.8$
	$\rho = -0.0683$	$\rho = -0.03816$
Varying infectivity model	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] \approx 18.7854$	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] \approx 22.6568$
Markov SIR model	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] = 8.1369$	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] = 10.544$

- Conclusion : the simplified model underestimates the extinction time.

Comparison with the time of extinction in a simplified model

- Comparison of the expectations of the times of extinction :

	$R_{eff} = 0.66$	$R_{eff} = 0.8$
	$\rho = -0.0683$	$\rho = -0.03816$
Varying infectivity model	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] \approx 18.7854$	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] \approx 22.6568$
Markov SIR model	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] = 8.1369$	$\mathbb{E}[T_{ext}] = 10.544$

- Conclusion : the simplified model underestimates the extinction time.